



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,143	11/17/2003	Diana Lynn Fitzgerald	ANA-101	9451
7590 Diana L. Fitzgerald 4949 Riviera Drive Coral Gables, FL 33146	12/30/2008		EXAMINER DUNN, MISHAWN N	
			ART UNIT 2621	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 12/30/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/713,143	FITZGERALD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MISHAWN DUNN	2621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 September 2008.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/08.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-20 in the reply filed on 9/25/2008 is acknowledged.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, filed 4/7/2008, with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 8, 13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Theimer (US Pat. No. 6,437,227).

5. Consider claim 1. Theimer teaches a system for storing music, comprising: a device for playing music that is heard by a subscriber; a request that is generated in response to a subscriber request to store music; a transmitter to transmit a request to obtain the music for storage (col. 4, line 66 – col. 5, line 4); a music database that is queried to obtain music responsive to the subscriber's request (col. 1, lines 27-33); and a storage device to receive the music and store the music (col. 8, lines 33-39).

6. Consider claim 8. Theimer teaches the system recited in claim 1, wherein the music database is provided by a third party (col. 1, lines 21-24).
7. Claims 13, 15, and 16 are rejected using similar reasoning as the corresponding claims above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 2, 3, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Theimer (US Pat. No. 6,437,227) in view of Official Notice.

10. Consider claim 2. Theimer teaches all claimed limitations as stated above, except wherein the storage device is located in a vehicle in which the listener is located.

However, the examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to place a storage device in a vehicle. Official notice is taken.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use, to incorporate storing of music data in a vehicle, in order to have easy and mobile access to the stored music data.

11. Consider claim 3. Theimer teaches the system recited in claim 2, wherein the storage device is one of a CD-ROM, a DVD and a RAM (col. 8, lines 33-39).

12. Consider claim 14. Theimer teaches all claimed limitations as stated above, except detecting the push of a button to receive the indication from the subscriber.

However, the examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to press a button to request data.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use, to incorporate the use of detecting a push of a button, in order to be able to receive request from a user.

13. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Theimer (US Pat. No. 6,437,227) in view of Kikuchi et al. (US Pat. No. 7,356,557).

14. Consider claim 4. Theimer teaches all claimed limitations as stated above, except wherein the storage device is located in a telephone and the music is transmitted in a ring tone format for storage as a ring tone in the telephone.

However, Kickuchi et al. teaches wherein the storage device is located in a telephone and the music is transmitted in a ring tone format for storage as a ring tone in the telephone (col. 11, lines 46-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use, to incorporate the use of ring tone format to store music, in order to make the reproduction of the music associated with incoming call.

15. Consider claim 5. Theimer teaches the system recited in claim 4, wherein only a portion of the music is stored in the telephone (col. 8, lines 14-17).

16. Consider claim 6. The system recited in claim 4, wherein the telephone is a cellular telephone (col. 4, line 66 - col. 5, line 4).

17. Claims 7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Theimer (US Pat. No. 6,437,227) in view of Eyal et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2007/0177586).

18. Consider claim 7. Theimer teaches all claimed limitations as stated above, except a music play list comprising a list of songs that are played, wherein when the listener makes the request for storing the music, the music play list is consulted to determine which music is being played at the time of the request.

However, Eyal et al. teaches a music play list comprising a list of songs that are played, wherein when the listener makes the request for storing the music, the music play list is consulted to determine which music is being played at the time of the request (para. 0110).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use, to incorporate the use of a play list, in order to allow flexibility of reproduction of the music stored.

19. Claim 17 is rejected using similar reasoning as the corresponding claim above.

20. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Theimer (US Pat. No. 6,437,227) in view of Sako (US Pat. No. 7,093,754).

21. Consider claim 9. Theimer teaches all claimed limitations as stated above, except wherein the listener is billed to store the music.

However, Sako teaches wherein the subscriber is billed to store the music (col. 11, lines 56-58; col. 25, lines 4-9).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use, to bill the subscriber to store the music, in order to allow the user to receive discounted services based on their purchase history.

22. Consider claim 10. Sako teaches the system recited in claim 9, wherein the listener is billed to store the music on a per-use basis (col. 11, lines 56-58).

23. Consider claim 11. Sako teaches the system recited in claim 9, wherein the listener is billed to store the music on a periodic basis (col. 25, lines 4-9).

24. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Theimer (US Pat. No. 6,437,227) in view of Tanaka et al. (US Pat. No. 7,113,927).

25. Consider claim 12. Theimer teaches all claimed limitations as stated above, except wherein the subscriber prepays to store the music.

However, Tanaka et al. teaches wherein the subscriber prepays to store the music (col. 12, lines 40-46).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use, to setup a prepayment plan for subscribers, in order to give users the options of not having to commit.

26. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Theimer (US Pat. No. 6,437,227) in view of Galdos (U.S. Pub. No. 2005/0031314).

27. Consider claim 18. Theimer teaches all claimed limitation as stated above, except reformatting a video data prior to storing.

However, Galdoes teaches reformatting a video data prior to storing (para. 0012).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to use, to incorporate reformatting of music file, in order to optimize storage space.

28. Consider claim 19. Galdos teaches reformatting a video data prior to storing in accordance with the storage device prior to receiving the music for storage (para. 0012).

29. Claim 20 is rejected using similar reasoning as the corresponding claims above.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MISHAWN DUNN whose telephone number is (571)272-7635. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on (571)272-7382. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MISHAWN DUNN/

Application/Control Number: 10/713,143
Art Unit: 2621

Page 9

Examiner, Art Unit 2621
December 18, 2008

/Thai Tran/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621